Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/711,743	HASTINGS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	VINH P. NGUYEN	2829
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>VINH P. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>MR&gt; ROSIN</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 October 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 19-20,22		
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner discussed with Mr. Rosin about making some changes in the claims for improving the clarity (see Examiner's amendment).		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)